

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	ERY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:			
AIRS ID#: 0550050 DA	TE: 10/26/06	ARRIVE: 10:00	DEPART: <u>10:50</u>			
			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
FACILITY NAME: SA						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1051 Carroll Shelby Drive						
	SEBRING 33870					
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL: W. CARLBERG	PHON	WE: (863)655-5508			
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	VE:			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	2006 ENTITI	LEMENT PERIOD: 8/24/200 (effective d				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (c	heck v only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.						
(check d appropriat						
Stack Emissions						
1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?-	sions tests conducted during thi	is site visit according to EPA N	1ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ⊠Yes □ No			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment						
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No uring visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted						
	presentative of the normal silo less unachievable in practice?		inimum 25 tons per hour rate, \bigsymbol{\text{\text{Yes}}} \bigsymbol{\text{\text{No}}} No			
4. Are emissions from	m the weigh hopper (batcher) o	operation controlled by the silo	dust collector? (If answer			
	"Yes", then continue on to que and continue on to question 5.)		nswer is "No" then Yes No			
a) Was the batchi	ing operation in operation durin	ng the visible emissions test?	🖾 Yes 🔲 No			
	ible emissions test, was the bate		normal batching rate and			
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) ope	eration are controlled by a dust	collector, which is separate			
	collector, are the visible emissi patching at a rate that is represent		batcher) dust collector rate and duration?			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to □Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)	e 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take rea	asonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:						
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards 						
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \bigsim Ye						
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppr						
emissions?						
 removal of particulate matter from roads and other pave 		r to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to redu		□Yes □ No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind br						
particulate matter from stock piles?						
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emi						
THE STATE OF THE CONTRACTOR AND PROCEDURES. D.L.	72 240 200/A/DA E A O					
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
1. Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?		∐Yes ∐ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace		□Yes □ No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different						
recent notification form?		∐Yes ∐ No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FA						
local program office?		□Yes □ No				
Wayne Lewis	10/26/06					
		<u> </u>				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
-	-					
		<u></u>				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS:						